Society of Critical Care Medicine

The Intensive Care Professionals



Critical Care Quick Study Scoring Rubric for Awards*

| Criteria | Exceeds expectations of criteria (5 points) | Strongly addresses criteria (4 points) | Meets expectations of criteria (3 points) | Weakly addresses criteria (1 point) |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Quality, relevance, and factual accuracy | Content is current, relevant, factually accurate, significant, and/or groundbreaking. | Content is current, important, relevant, and accurate, with only minor issues. | Content is not current but is relevant and has some inaccurate information or omissions in content. | Content is not current and is only somewhat relevant, with inaccurate information or omissions in content. |
| Example of microlearning and engagement | Educational content is easily consumable and highly engaging and has overall great potential for easy knowledge or skills transfer. | Educational content is easily consumable and moderately engaging and has good potential for knowledge or skills transfer but could be improved with additional content or graphics. | Educational content is short form but with limited engagement and some potential for knowledge or skills transfer that could be improved in organization, clarity, content, or graphics. | Educational content lacks engagement and could be improved in length, organization, clarity, content, or graphics to increase the potential of knowledge or skills transfer. |
| Innovation | Educational content is highly innovative, introducing new and effective teaching approaches. | Educational content demonstrates moderate innovation in teaching methods or presentation. | Educational content has limited innovation, with some use of common strategies. | Educational content lacks innovation and relies on conventional teaching methods. |
| Structure and organization | Content is detailed and well organized. The evidence presented effectively relays information with substantive and relevant background and identifying information for easy understanding and knowledge or skills transfer. | Content is detailed and organized. The evidence presented relays information with good details for understanding and knowledge or skills transfer. | Content is adequately detailed and organized. | Content details and background information are limited. Additional information is required to improve understanding and knowledge or skills transfer. |
| Assessment and discussion | Content assessment and discussion are clear and thorough. Details and results are clear and include substantive supplemental references. | Content assessment and discussion are clear and thorough. Details and results are good and include supplemental references. | Content assessment and discussion are adequate, with room for improvement, and include some supplemental references. | Content assessment and discussion are minimal, with minimal or no supplemental references. |

^{*}Approved submissions added to the SCCM Resource Library through August 2024 will be considered for an award that will be presented at SCCM's 2025 Congress.